(4) CIP RS/10/2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR
W.P. (S) NO. 1055 / 2007

Petitioner : Smt.Bind Kumari W/o Sahadeo, Aged about 35 years, R/o Village – Rampur, Para Ramgarh, Tehsil - Surajpur, Dist : Sarguja-(C.G.)

P.R. No. 1339/07
Presented by Shri A.N. Pandey
Dated 14-02-2007

Versus

Respondents :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Department of Women and Child Development, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
14/2/07
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2. The Collector (Women and Child Development), Sarguja-(C.G.)

3. The Project Officer, Women and Child Development, Odgi, Dist : Sarguja (C.G.)

S.R. Kaushik
BILASPUR TEHSIL AREA

4. Lalmani W/o Surendra Panikar, R/o Village-Dhur, Present address – Rampur, Block – Odgi, Tehsil – Surajpur, Dist : Sarguja-(C.G.)

WRIT PETITION U/A 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI, PROHIBITION ETC.

1. Particulars of the Petitioner.

As per cause title.

(20)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 1055 of 2007

Petitioner — Smt. Bind Kumari

Versus

Respondents — State of Chhattisgarh & others

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri.**

Present: Mr. A.N. Pandey, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Deepali Pandey, Panel Lawyer for the State.

ORDER

(Passed on 26th Day of February, 2007)

The following order of the Court was passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the appointment of the respondent No. 4 on the post of Aanganbadi Sahaiyka is contrary to the provisions of Aanganbadi Scheme as the respondent No. 4 is not living below the poverty line and the petitioner is living below the poverty line. The petitioner has preferential right over the respondent No. 4.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the name of the respondent No. 4 is not in the voter list, the basic criteria for consideration on the post of Aanganbadi Sahaiyka.

3. In view of the above, the respondent No. 2 is directed to look into the matter and pass appropriate order within a reasonable time, in accordance with Aanganbadi Scheme and law.

4. With the above direction, this petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Subhash